

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/608,617	Applicant(s) SMYERS ET AL.	
	Examiner MARC R. FILIPCZYK	Art Unit 2158	

All Participants:

(1) MARC R. FILIPCZYK

(2) JONATHAN OWENS

Date of Interview: 1 March 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
Pending rejection

Claims discussed:
Pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mohammad Ali/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2158
/Marc R Filipczyk/
Examiner, Art Unit 2158

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested amending most of the independent claims to include a definition for isochronous (transfer), include limitation c from new claim 55 and clarify that the metadata header is added to the cycle group of packets as now recited. The remaining claims are cancelled. Agreement was reached and permission granted to enter the changes via examiner's amendment. .